Lubomir Kavalek

Life at Play

A Chess Memoir

New In Chess 2022

Contents

Foreword by Irena Kavalek	.7
The world of gambits (1943-1964)	.9
Grandmaster (1965-1968)	3
In the West (1968-1969) 7	7
On to America (1970-1972)	13
Reykjavik and the aftermath (1972-1978)	5
The organizer (1979-1987) 17	'9
Training Odyssey (1988-1993) 20	5
Selected games	3
Appendix	51
Index of names	3
Explanation of symbols 34	.9

Foreword

Some twenty years ago my husband, Lubomir (Lubosh) Kavalek, started working on a book of his memoirs. It was to be a story of his chess life accompanied by games or game fragments. He kept compiling stories and collecting games and the amount of material grew. In 2015 he started working with the well-known Czech-American writer Jan Novak. With Jan's help the text of the book started to take shape. Now the plan was to have perhaps a two-volume work with the games published in a separate volume.

On January 18, 2021, Lubosh died unexpectedly. Jan Novak compiled the existing text, without the games, and prepared it for publication. The book is to be published in the Czech Republic under the title Rozehraný život.

It seemed important to me to publish an abridged version of the book in 'the West'. I spent the rest of 2021 translating relevant parts into English. I omitted some vignettes of purely Czech interest and added a few paragraphs I found in Lubosh's notes. Some quotes are translations of translations.

While going through Lubosh's papers I found a list of games that he considered for inclusion in the book. My assumption was that those games, some probably annotated, resided somewhere in his computer or on one of the numerous USB flash drives scattered around his office. This presented a challenge since I know nothing about computers and even less about chess. To the rescue came Craig Saperstein who used to take chess lessons from Lubosh as a kid. Craig, now conveniently a software engineer, volunteered countless hours of his free time to look through Lubosh's ChessBase files trying to locate annotated games that could be included in the book.

Various lists I found in my husband's papers and the internet helped me to compile a timeline of his personal a professional highlights included as an appendix. Any mistakes of omission or commission are my own.

Several others helped as well. I owe a great debt of gratitude to John Donaldson for his careful reading of the text and his general help and advice throughout. My thanks to Dirk Jan ten Geuzendam for shepherding the project through to publication. Also thanks to my son Steven and his family for their moral support during this difficult year. As a result of these combined efforts, Life at Play took the shape that was likely intended by its author.

It is my hope that Lubosh would have approved of the final result.

Irena Kavalek Reston, Virginia August 2022

FISCHER WANTS LIGHT

The official role of Thelen was to help us with adjourned games, but we knew better. Thelen actually confessed to me that he had been told by the state security apparatus that should my father show up, Thelen was supposed to tell him that if he tried to keep meeting with me, this would be my last tournament abroad. I would no longer be allowed to travel. Thelen was not happy with this assignment and asked me to tell my father myself. This I found to be an absurd suggestion I had to refuse. When my father did show up in Sousse, Thelen acted as if he were his long-lost friend, letting my father pay his bar bills and borrowing exile publications like Svědectví, a Parisian journal. The ultimatum could wait.

Our hotel, Sousse Palace, with its beach, pool, sailboat, donkey, and camel, was fun, but the biggest attraction was Bobby Fischer. After a fiveyear hiatus, he had decided to join the contest for the world title again. There were 23 of us vying for six qualifying spots. The winners would meet in individual matches to prevent the dealings of the tournament mafias. To avoid a repeat of Curaçao 1962, where the Soviets had played as a team against Fischer, the pairings were designed to have players from the same country meet in the first few rounds.

My game with Fischer was scheduled for the sixth round and I was looking forward to it. Nobody from our post-war generation had had a chance to play him yet. We kept running into Bobby in the hotel frequently because his room was between Hort's and mine. The walls were thin, and Bobby was an evening person who liked to listen to music late into the night. Hort was bothered and wanted revenge. He would turn up the radio's Arabic music station full blast and go downstairs to breakfast. It turned out that Bobby was a sound sleeper and never noticed.

We played on Sunday, October 22, and the auditorium was full. Bobby came late, as was his habit. We shook hands and started. The opening was the Poisoned Pawn Variation of the Najdorf Sicilian. I could see that when I selected the sharpest, most analyzed continuation, Bobby got antsy. He got up and requested our table be moved to another spot with better lighting. There was no problem with the light. Was he just trying to break my concentration? I didn't care where we sat, so the organizers moved our table. Bobby was still unhappy, however, and requested additional lamps. We sat surrounded by illumination and I felt like I was being interrogated.

During this phase of the game, it was important to come up with something unexpected, and Fischer attacked my most active piece, a knight, with his pawn. He assumed I would exchange it and that would give him control over the dark squares. He kept glancing at me and looked pleased. He was two pawns up and pushing me back from the center. I calculated that retreating with the knight led nowhere and considered sacrificing it to complete my development. Bobby had only two heavy pieces in play so far and his queen was stuck at the edge of the board. He had already taken two poisoned pawns; was he going to take my knight as well?

My position reached the fight or flight stage. I was fighting for survival and decided to let the knight be, moving my king to safety. Bobby took the knight and created a pawn triangle – usually a weak formation, but his triangle controlled six important squares.

I don't look at my opponents much during games, but this time I could not resist. Bobby did not look happy. He had overlooked an attacking opportunity, and his position now looked precarious for a change. In the end he put my queen in a deadly pin, but with a pretty rook accordion on the seventh rank I could draw by perpetual check. It was a short but rich game with many intrigues behind the curtain. Bent Larsen wrote that my knight sacrifice was psychologically justifiable, but one could assume that Bobby had not analyzed it. The knight sacrifice in this variation became something of a fad and Garry Kasparov later said our game had influenced the development of this variation for many years to come.

I was happy with the outcome, and my father and I went for a walk on the beach afterwards. Suddenly I saw my afternoon opponent waving at me from across the street. I walked over and Bobby took out his pocket chess set, showing me how he could have won. We were standing under a streetlamp and I could barely see the pieces, but he never noticed. The analytical variation he showed me could be refuted with an exchange sacrifice, but Bobby was on the right track. With a little tweaking, Black could have won.

Bobby was playing brilliantly, and nobody could have foreseen that in a mere ten days we would be playing without him. In addition to his lighting complaints, he made it clear that he did not like being photographed during games, and protested the schedule, which had him playing five games in five days. The organizers ignored his verbal protests, so Bobby simply did not show up for his game against Aivars Gipslis, forfeited, and announced that he was leaving.

His next game was supposed to be against Sammy Reshevsky, who was already walking around with visions of an easy point, when Fischer stormed into the playing hall seven minutes before he would have forfeited again. He sat down to play, and after 28 moves, Reshevsky resigned.

Fischer then beat Robert Byrne but did not show up against Hort and lost another point. He was commuting between Sousse and the capital Tunis, making promises and threats in turn. The Soviets had enough at this point and announced that unless his antics ceased and the organizers stopped making concessions to him, they would all leave. The organizers had great support in the chief referee Jaroslav Šajtar, and Bobby finally said that he would accept the two forfeits and play his next game, which was supposed to be against Larsen.

As it happened, it was the organizers and Šajtar who prevented him from continuing. They gave him twenty minutes to appear for the game, knowing full well that Bobby was 140 kilometers away in Tunis and there was no way for him to make it. The following day he flew home. He had been totally dominant in the tournament, winning seven out of ten games, with three draws. His goodbye message was clear – let the patzers fight it out among themselves.

HELPLESSNESS

My father was staying in a hotel only a few miles from ours and his vacation was coming to an end. Thelen liked to spend evenings there, and it was finally time for him to deliver the secret police's ultimatum. One evening I saw them walking on the pier when suddenly I saw my father lift Thelen up, ready to toss him to the fishes. Only my cries prevented a major disaster. It was a tragic situation, pitting two people against one another: the son of rich parents who had lost it all and had become a poor Communist versus the poor son of a seamstress and a housepainter who hated Communism. They basically liked each other and were able to have political debates without shouting or violence, but the order not to see his son was a step too far for my father.

For me, all that was left was to finish the tournament. Larsen and I drew a lively game, and Larsen went on to win the tournament. I lost to 15-year-old Henrique Mecking, also known as the Pelé of chess, and to Gligorić, Matulović, and Portisch. I won a few games, but any hopes of qualifying were gone.

On the last day, Hort, Thelen, Šajtar, and I were waiting in the hotel lobby for a taxi. A hotel employee ran up to Thelen and pressed a copy of *Svědectví*, a banned magazine, into his hand saying, 'Sir, you forgot this!' Thelen turned bright red and tried to push it back into the bellhop's hand. He knew Šajtar was watching.

COLLISIONS

The stormy year of 1968 started with a rollover. Janata and I were driving back from the European Team Championship when my trusty Renault went into a skid, and we ended up upside down. Fortunately, we were fine,

managing to turn the car right side up and continue driving on through the night. It was a fitting start to a year that turned my life upside down: the year of the Prague Spring.

Suddenly there was freedom wherever you looked: newspapers, books, clubs, theaters, political organizations. When I spoke to Thelen about the new climate, the old fox warned me, 'Remember that nobody gives up power for nothing.' For me, the most palpable sign of a new era was the fact that I was allowed to study journalism. It was interesting to see many faces of the old guard among my classmates, whose only qualification for their high editorial positions had been the Communist party card, and who were now trying to catch up on their lack of education. I was still working the night shift at the paper, but the work was not demanding. I was learning the trade, and my civic life was uneventful.

In March, the Federation dispatched me to a tournament in Sarajevo. Irena and I loved the old city, the food, the strong Turkish coffee, the sound of the morning prayers from the mosque. When it came to chess, it was not a memorable tournament for me. I ended up third, half a point behind Dragoljub Ćirić and Anatoly Lein.

The Prague team flew to Moscow in April for its fourth Prague-Moscow match. At the Moscow airport one member of our group, Josef Pribyl, discovered that his suitcase, originally full of brand new shirts and pants, was half empty. The Russian customs officials of course claimed that it must have happened on the other end, in Prague.

We stayed at the Hotel Minsk and played in the gloomy chess club on Gogolevsky Boulevard. The former Palace was the home of the Soviet Chess Federation, the chess magazine 64, and the Central Chess Club. Its history recalled better times; it had once been a venue for concerts, opera, and theater.

Looking at history, how about some chess history: how long did it take for the Russians to take over the chess world? At the end of 1925, a strong international tournament took place in Moscow. Only the Russian emigrant Alekhine was missing. This tournament was won by Efim Bogoljubow, with Emanuel Lasker in second and José Raúl Capablanca in third place. This extraordinary event attracted various personalities from the art world, such as Vladimir Mayakovsky and Vsevolod Pudovkin. Pudovkin used the opportunity to make the silent movie Chess Fever, which featured Frank Marshall, Rudolf Spielmann, Savielly Tartakower, Ernst Grünfeld, and Carlos Torre, with Capablanca in the starring role. The story tells the tale of a chess fanatic who neglects his fiancée. She cries, 'I hate chess!' and wants to swallow poison. Capablanca happens by and the movie caption reads: 'I know what you mean. When I meet a beautiful woman, I hate chess, also.' The girl tosses the poison away, gets into the taxi with the Cuban dandy, and they go back to the playing hall. She suddenly discovers that chess is beautiful and falls back in love with her chess fanatic.

The Moscow tournament was the work of the great Bolshevik Nikolai Krylenko, who aimed to turn the USSR into a chess powerhouse. He established chess five-year plans, organized mass chess competitions with the slogan 'Chess for the Workers', and arranged for the best players to meet foreign competitors. Krylenko was a dangerous man: as the prosecutor general, he sent many innocent people to their death. Eventually Krylenko became a nuisance to Stalin, who accused him of spending too much time hiking and playing chess. Evidently his hobbies merited the verdict of high treason, and Krylenko was executed during the Great Purge. His chess seeds bore fruit, however, and in 1948, Mikhail Botvinnik became World Champion, starting the Soviet hegemony. From 1951 to 1971, all chess World Championship matches were played in Moscow.

I met Salo Flohr in person for the first time during our team match. He no longer played much; he was nearing 60 and mostly just wrote for the papers or acted as referee. Moscow won the match 13.5-8.5, but since I had the best result of our team, I received a beautiful samovar from the newspaper Vechernaia Moskva. The Russian comrades, in a magnanimous gesture powered by vodka, promised that they would bring another samovar for the winner of the Czechoslovak Championship, which was to start a month later.

The championship took place in Luhačovice and to this day is considered one of the strongest in history. Five of the participants figured in the first ten or twenty in the world at one time or another. In addition to the old guard of Pachman and Filip, who were in their forties, the post-war generation included Hort, Jansa, Janata, Trapl, Augustin, Kupka, Plachetka, and Smejkal. We played in the afternoon and we could hear the brass band from a nearby restaurant and the noise from the reception area in the playing hall. After six rounds, I was at 50 percent with one win, four platonic draws, and a loss to Augustin. Things improved after that, though, and I strung together nine wins interrupted by a draw with Filip. Smejkal was breathing down my neck, however, and I could not shake him off.

Smejkal was a merciless fighter. He always dressed neatly in a suit and tie and took equally good care of his chess career. He did not go for cheap tricks and his games were built on solid ground. He was strictly a positional player who liked to take his bishops for walks on the long diagonals. He was a specialist in the Grünfeld and believed strongly in Black's chances in the Ruy Lopez. With White he was an expert in the Catalan. His downfall was time management. Thanks to his mathematical talent he was often caught up in long calculations and forgot about the clock. Chess clocks signal a loss on time with a little flag that drops down after the allotted time of 2.5 hours. It was almost like Smejkal enjoyed the battle with the clock as much as the game itself. There's no telling how far he would have come if it were not for the clock.

The pairings had us play three rounds before the end. It looked like our game would determine the tournament winner. Smejkal was White and controlled the only free flank. I tried to create counterplay by allowing an isolated pawn. An uneven battle ensued. He tried to control my isolated pawn, and I was simultaneously pushing a boulder up his outside flank. Everything was decided during time pressure when Smejkal took one of my pawns, I threw in another one, the isolated one, and suddenly the white king could not breathe. My attack, inspired by Alekhine, was so simple and powerful that Smejkal froze. He could have simplified but instead allowed a mating attack on his king. June 13 was a lucky day for me. In my last thirteen games I had collected twelve points. My first prize consisted of a diploma and a crystal vase. The Russian samovar never came.

KOTOV'S BANANAS

As an aging student of journalism, I was nominated to play the Student Olympiad in Ybbs, Austria. I therefore had an Austrian visa in my passport, which came in very handy, as it turned out. I was supposed to play the first board when I received an invitation from Amsterdam to play in their first-class IBM Tournament. During the chaos of the Prague Spring, all rules went out the window. People who had profited from their Communist party membership started to hedge their bets, not knowing where the country would end up. Suddenly I was allowed to play in Amsterdam, unaccompanied, and even in possession of a passport that not only had an Austrian and a Dutch visa, but also a transit visa for West Germany. I took advantage of this and stopped in Oberhaching to visit my father for the first time.

The patron of the Amsterdam tournament was the former World Champion Max Euwe, and the competition was rated 1A – the strongest tournament category. The Soviets sent David Bronstein and Alexander Kotov, the 1948 Soviet co-champion. As was my habit, I started with a zero against Bora Ivkov, but then followed with six straight wins, coming to land in the lead. My chief rival was Bronstein, who liked to corner me in the restaurant and dazzle me with a torrent of his chess ideas, complaining that the young players liked to block everything and never let him put his ideas to use. To my surprise, when we sat down to play, he did exactly what he was objecting to: he blocked everything I tried to do. In a misguided attempt to show him, I sacrificed a pawn, added a knight, and quickly saw that my plan would backfire. He had me. He took my pieces and went on to win the endgame. It was my second loss to Bronstein, but both games were interesting and nothing to be ashamed of.

Kotov started slowly, but caught a second wind, making 4.5 points in the last five games. He arrived to the board for our game carrying three bananas, maybe one for each stage of the game. I was hoping he would not get to eat the third one, the endgame one. I chose the King's Indian, and he reacted aggressively with the Sämisch Variation. When he offered a draw, I refused. There was a chance for me to sacrifice a knight, but I thought better of it when I saw Bronstein draw, meaning that I did not need a win. We continued playing while the nervous organizers were pacing back and forth, eager to get on with the closing ceremony. Finally, when the board was almost bare, we agreed to a draw.

Kotov offered me the last banana and Bronstein came to congratulate me. I had won the tournament. It was my biggest tournament win to date, and because I had made the grandmaster norm again, I did not have to worry about it for the next five years – this was the required period for which you could 'validate' the title in order not to become a 'passive grandmaster'. When I mentioned this to Bronstein, he reminded me tactfully that he had made the norm seventy-five times in his career.

HOW TO LOSE WEIGHT

The last day of the Amsterdam tournament coincided with the beginning of the Akiba Rubinstein Memorial in Polanica Zdroj, a Polish spa. I had to deposit my father in Germany and pick up Irena in Prague. My other passenger was Istvan Bilek, who was also headed to Polanica. I took off into the rainy Dutch night. It poured most of the trip, and I felt like I was piloting a hydroplane. The relaxed Bilek was asleep in the back and my father kept up the conversation, dispensing driving advice, urging me to slow down. I changed the topic to politics.

Unbelievable things were happening. The editors of the Czech magazine Student had recently visited Munich and held interviews in the 'treasonous center of the ideological diversion', Radio Free Europe. I told my father that he would soon be without a job now that Czechoslovakia had a free press. My skeptical father was not worried, saying that the Russians were not going to tolerate this orgy of freedom. I did not argue. I was not entirely convinced myself that things would continue to improve. The sudden political change was suspect. I said goodbye to my father in Nuremberg with the idea that we would meet again soon. Last year's secret service threat that we must never meet again seemed ridiculous at that point.

We got a few hours of sleep in Prague and picked up Irena. I stepped on the scale in Polanica and saw that I had lost ten pounds in the last two months. I was facing fifteen more game days and resolved that after this tournament I would take it easy and get some rest. It took me a few days to recover from my trek across half of Europe but in round eight, I faced a world champion for the first time.

Vasily Smyslov was a tall, pleasant Russian, who talked so langorously it was like being in a slow-motion movie. When it wasn't his move, he liked to stroll through the playing hall, his stride just as judicious as his chess moves. He relied mostly on intuition. By then he was 47 and the younger Soviet players quietly complained that he was finished, should hang it up, sit at home, and make room for the next generation. Smyslov kept winning, however, and later, at the age of 63, was still among the best three in the world, missing another match for the world title by only one Candidates' Match. I was able to play against him surprisingly well and had a better position with Black. When victory was within reach, I made a few imprecise moves, and so the game ended in a draw. In the second half of the tournament, Smyslov and I put some distance between us and the rest of the field: one of us was going to win. Nobody expected then that the greatest drama of the tournament would take place outside the chess board.

STUPID PEOPLE

In the evening of August 20, 1968, Irena and I drove our friend Slava 'Standa' Hajek to the border crossing between Poland and Czechoslovakia in Beloves. He was visiting Polanica to watch the tournament. We used to play chess together when I lived in Hronov. His mother worked in a Benedictine monastery in Broumov, where they later lived when the Communists confiscated their house. Standa had had polio as a child and had trouble walking, but never complained; on the contrary, he took advantage of his handicap and smuggled religious literature from Poland. The customs officials would just wave him through, probably thinking, what could this guy, who can barely walk, be carrying? I still don't know what Standa was carrying, but in every village we passed, we saw a good number of soldiers. 'They are here probably on vacation,' joked Standa, but nobody was laughing. Between the village houses here and there we could see the barrel of a tank. On our return drive it was dark, and the soldiers and their tanks had disappeared into the scenery.

The next morning the Russian chess player Vladimir Simagin was pacing up and down the hotel hallway, looking worried. When Irena passed by him, she heard him mutter 'stupid people, stupid people', in Russian. He then told her that during the night, Russian tanks had invaded Czechoslovakia. Irena ran to our room, 'Get up! It's war!' I was sure it was just another one of her tricks to get me out of bed.

It was no trick. From then on, when I was not nailed to the chessboard, Irena and I were glued to our transistor radio. There was gunfire at the National Museum in Prague because the Russians thought it was the main radio station. When they found the right building, the shooting continued. There were casualties. The tanks were crushing cars that stood in their way. We followed the broadcasts describing the catand-mouse game of how radio broadcasters managed to keep reports on the air by moving secretly from studio to studio. Sometimes we changed the station to Radio Free Europe and waited to hear the voice of my father.

In my free time, I kept chasing Smyslov. I beat the East German Werner Golz in a game full of hate and anger. The Soviets were joined in their invasion by the armies of the Warsaw Pact, which included Poles and East Germans. In the penultimate round I played Simagin, who often coached others, including Smyslov. I knew him as a modest and pleasant person who knew a lot about chess and came up with original ideas. He often played unusual opening set-ups. I realized that this well-read, decent person had nothing to do with the attack on our country. After a few moves there was not much left on the board. I had a rook, Simagin had a knight, and we kept pushing the pieces and the kings around the board. The Poles were loudly kibitzing for my rook as I smacked it against the board. It was my only weapon against the Russian tanks, a flimsy piece of wood, a symbol of helplessness and fury, surrounded by 460,000 soldiers and thousands of tanks. In Liberec, equally frustrated citizens pummeled the Russian tanks with tomatoes, with equal effect.

Suddenly Simagin became unwell; I had no idea that he had a weak heart. We quickly adjourned and decided to look at the chess literature to see if we could find anything about our endgame. All the experts agreed that my position was not winnable, and the game ended in a draw. About a month later we got the news that Simagin had died of a heart attack while playing in Kislovodsk. He was 49 when he made his last move.

YOU CAN GO

In Polanica Zdroj, chaos reigned. The Poles were apologetic and tried to make it up to us. They quickly arranged a large simul for me in Wroclaw so I could make some money. After the tournament, they moved us to the empty villa of a well-known Polish actor. When the last flag on the last chess clock fell in the tournament hall, the chief arbiter collected the scoresheets and took off for home. Tired, he fell asleep on the train and woke up just in time to get off at his stop. The briefcase with the scoresheets was left on the train. It was never recovered, and neither were our games, disappearing forever. The tournament bulletin was never published, and so in the history of chess, a gap remains.¹ Unfortunately, I did not win this unrecorded, and therefore non-existent, tournament. Smyslov ended up ahead of me by a whole point. The organizers gave me 15,000 zloty for second place.

In the beginning we shared the beautiful villa with Jansa, glued to the transistor radio, but after three days he left and returned to Prague. I had the opposite feeling; I sensed that I should not go back. I feared that everything there would only get worse and that the chess bureaucracy would be rejoicing. I felt that I must get away for good.

Such a decision is never purely rational. There are too many unknowns – one must trust one's intuition. Suddenly everything became clear to me. I called my father in Munich and told him I was leaving Czechoslovakia. He wasn't surprised. I asked him to call my mother, who was on vacation in Yugoslavia, to tell her not to go back to Prague and wait for my call. I then wondered aloud how I would get into West Germany without a visa. My father reminded me that I had an Austrian visa for the upcoming Student Olympiad, which I had completely forgotten about in the endless chaos – a stark reminder that I was making important decisions in a state of mental fog.

The simultaneous exhibition in Wroclaw was arranged in haste, and Irena and I left Polanica Zdroj on August 31. The commute to the next job was about 100 kilometers and as soon as we left, a guy on a motorcycle started tailing us. He kept waving at me, motioning for me to stop. I ignored him for a while, but then I stopped. I rolled down the window, and he ran up to the car, yelling 'I hate Dubček!' and took off. We looked at each other in disbelief. Evidently the Prague Spring was not uniformly popular. We soon reached Wroclaw, a university town on the confluence of four rivers with a long chess history. Here, Adolf Anderssen, one of

¹ Thankfully, over many years and primarily due to the players who had kept their scoresheets, 92 of the 120 games have been rescued.

the greatest chess players of the nineteenth century, had been born, had studied, lectured in mathematics, and died. I would have liked to walk the city to trace his presence and pay my respects, but there was no time for sentimental tributes. More than twelve hundred chess pieces were awaiting me in the local chess club.

The simul started soon after our arrival and Irena's assignment was to spend our zloty. She said it was like in the old fairy tale: the more she spent, the more zloty she seemed to have. When I came back after the simul with another wad of zloty, we decided to spend it all in the hotel bar. We bought bottles of Polish vodka at hotel prices from the stunned barman. We kept only enough money to buy gas, loading our tinkling investment in the car, and went to bed. On Sunday, September 1, we got up at 5 A.M. and started on our long drive to Prague. It occurred to us that September 1, 1939, also around 5 A.M., the Germans had invaded Poland and started WW II. There was no time to contemplate world history, however; we had enough of our own small, personal history that seemed equally earth-shattering, to deal with.

We reached the Polish-Czech border in Beloves near Nachod driving on almost empty roads. The customs official just looked at the load of vodka on the back seat and waved us through. In Hradec Králové, I turned to follow the signs to Prague, then hesitated because something did not make sense. I turned around and continued in the opposite direction. The turned-around road signs were the only evidence of the Soviet invasion we came across that day. The citizenry had tried to confuse the invading armies by turning around road and street signs. Ten days after the invasion, we did not see a single tank.

We reached Prague around noon. I parked the car, and we climbed the five floors to my apartment. My mother was still in Yugoslavia, and the apartment was stuffy and very quiet. I looked around. An unmade bed, a table with a chessboard and pieces, cupboard, bookcases, a record player. Should I raid the wardrobe full of stuff stashed there 'for better days'? What should I pack? I could not think of anything better than to just throw in some clean shirts and shoeboxes with my scoresheets. They contained some 850 of my games, only some of which worth looking at. The phone rang, who could it be? I let it ring. No time to chat. I didn't even look out the window – why look? The view of the trees in the Olsany cemetery was imprinted on my brain. What else to pack? Some chess books? A tennis racket? A chess set? No, nothing. I had enough. Irena and I ran down the stairs. Goodbye. Auf Wiedersehen. Dosvidanya. I took Irena home. There was no time for long goodbyes. Irena was scheduled to travel to Amsterdam with her university group in October. There were no tears; there was no time. I got in the car. Should I stop at the Chess Federation to return my exit permit as was required? Idiot. How would I be able to leave?

I was headed south, to Austria, and then what? I tried to imagine where it was I was headed, what was really happening to me, where I would end up. It was late afternoon when I reached the Austrian border. The border patrol was just as accommodating as their Polish colleagues.

'You are going to Yugoslavia via Austria?' 'I am going to Yugoslavia?' I asked, confused. 'That is what it says on the exit permit.' 'Oh, yes, yes, that's true,' I said. 'Okay, you can go. Have a good trip.' And so I went.

29...**₩g2**+‼

The queen sacrifice leads to a deadly king's hunt, forcing mate. It is the shortest way to win, preferred by today's computers.

'The beautiful final stroke was not really necessary,' wrote IM Moshe Czerniak in the Olympiad book. 'A mere 29... 22 was all that was needed. But how could a true chess artist miss such a glorious opportunity?'

29....罩af8 30.②xd4 響xf4+ 31.②f3 罩g7 is slower.

30.≜xg2 ≣xg2+ 31.∲f3



31...**¤e**8!

'The king is given no chance to escape. Black threatens 32... 皇g4 mate' – Czerniak.

3**2**.f5

Forced, and the only way to give the king walking space.

'Otherwise he would be checkmated by the knight. Kavalek has calculated his combination very precisely' - Czerniak. 35.堂xh4 公xf5# 35.堂h6 公xf5#.



37...≝fg5! 0-1

"The final touch; 38...罩d7 mate cannot be averted. A delightful stroke of art' – Czerniak.

Game 9	King's Indian Defense		
Lubomir Kavalek			
Wolfgang Uhlmann			
Mariánské Lázně 1965 (1)			

'Hort dreamed of meeting the IGM norm, Jansa dared to at least meet the IM norm, and Kavalek, of course. wanted to be at least second in the tournament. Many of us expected a better sporting performance from Luboš Kavalek than what he finally showed in the international tournament in Mariánské Lázně. The main obstacle to his performance was the unevenness he showed in individual games. In some we saw exceptional talent and ability, in others little perseverance or incorrect assessment of the situation on the board. But his game with Uhlmann, which was rightly awarded as the best game of the tournament and for which Kavalek

was rewarded with a special prize, shows that he can play even against the strongest opponents – and precisely against them' – Opocensky. 1.c4 g6 2.公c3 皇g7 3.d4 d6 4.g3 公f6 5.皇g2 0-0 6.公f3 公c6 7.0-0 e5



This system was one of several weapons used by my opponent in the Interzonal Tournament in Stockholm in 1962. I knew he had worked it out, so I wondered where to leave the beaten track. The next two moves are logical. That's why I immediately performed them. **8.d5**

'Only in this way can White hope to retain the initiative resulting from the first move. After taking on e5, his advantage would disappear in a few moves' – Opocensky.

8... @e7 9.e4 @e8 10. &e3

White postpones play on the queenside and tries to take advantage of the poor positioning of the knight on e7 and the bishop on g7. 10. (14) e1 f5 11. (2) d3 is the most common continuation, when White can combine play on the queenside with the f2-f4 thrust.

10...f5 11.₩d2 ∅f6 12.∅g5 ∅xe4

He wants to gain space for the knight on e7.



14.<u>ĝ</u>g5!

A very important insertion, because otherwise the e7-knight would have reached the key square d4 via f5.

14...ĝf6?

'It turns out that exchanging the dark-squared bishop weakens the defense of Black's kingside position' – Opocensky.

14... 響e8!? 15. ②xe4 ②f5 16.c5±;

14....創f5 was better.

15. 👷 xf6 🕮 xf6 16. 🖄 xe4 🕮 f8 17.f4! Now that Black's bishop has disappeared, White's attack on the kingside is fully justified.

17...exf4

17...心f5 18.g4 心d4 19.fxe5 dxe5 20.罩xf8+ �axf8 21.豐h6+ �ag8 22.d6 cxd6 23.罩f1 心e2+ 24.�ah1 心f4 25.心g5 豐e7 26.�ad5+ �ae6 27.心xe6 心xe6 28.g5 �ah8 29.罩f6 心f8 30.罩f7+-.

18.覃xf4 覃xf4 19.鬯xf4 鬯f8

19...②f5 20.g4 ②g7 21.罩f1 響e7 22.②f6+ 查h8 23.響h6.

20.⁄වf6+

The start of a precisely calculated combination.

20...∲g7 21.≝f1 ዿ̂f5



22.⊘d7!

That's the point of White's attack. 22... 省格

23.**鬯d**4+

Only now it becomes clear how dangerous the incursion of the white knight is.

23...∲h6

23...會g8 24.②f6+ 會f7 25.②xh7 豐h8 26.②g5+ 會g8 27.豐f4 豐e5 28.豐xe5 dxe5 29.틸e1+--; 23...會f7 24.豐f6+ 會g8 (24...會e8 25.②f8+-) 25.틸e1+-. **24.②f6 c6**

Black suddenly has no reasonable defense.

25.g4 ₩b6

This is the only way Black can prevent an early checkmate, but it costs a piece.

26. 響xb6 axb6 27.gxf5 罩xa2 28. ②g4+ ģg5 29.f6 ∅f5 30.f7 Ïa8 31.∅e3 The fate of the game is thus decided. Black has only one pawn for the piece and could resign now. 31....罩f8 32. 公xf5 gxf5 33.dxc6 bxc6 34. âxc6 ¤xf7 35. ¤d1 ¤f6 36. ģf2 邕h6 37. 臭g2 ģf4 38.h3 ģe5 39.罩d5+ 空e6 40.罩b5 1-0 Notes in Československý Šach 10/1965 p. 158; Opocensky in Rude Pravo. This game earned me the prize for the best game of the tournament. After Halle 1963, this was already a second prize against the German grandmaster.

Game 10 Sicilian Defense Lubomir Kavalek Jan Erik Westman

Sinaia student ol 1965 (2)

1.e4 c5 2.ගිc3 ගිc6 3.g4



The 'Czech Double-Punch', as it was called by the Soviet grandmaster and theoretician Alexander Konstantinopolsky because it was also played by Michael Janata in

the same match against Sweden. We named the line 'The Vinohrady Variation', after a district in Prague where we'd both gone to school. The spiritual father of the variation was Iaromir Kubicek, another member of our school team and a romantic player with a passion for the King's and other gambits and for various unusual openings. Janata was the best player on our school team and he later went on to tie for first at the 1963 World Junior Championship with Florin Gheorghiu. Our school won the Prague scholastic championship several times. During the 1965 Student Olympiad in Sinaia, Romania, Janata and I were roommates. When we decided to introduce the Vinohrady Variation to the international scene on the same day on our boards, it caused a huge stir in the tournament hall. Kubicek's idea influenced other players from Prague. The variation took off after I published comments to this game in the Czechoslovakian monthly Československý Šach, and other Czech players began to use it. From the 1965 comments: 'The move 3.g4 can't be easily refuted and it provides a good opportunity for an opening surprise. The main idea is to grab space and save a tempo in the attack from the usual slow build-up with 3.g3. The disadvantage could be the weak dark squares f4 and h4, but that is not easy to exploit. For example, after 3...e5, White can play 4.皇c4!.'

3...g6 4.d3 gg7 5.ge3 d6 6.gg2 ^{II}b8 7.f4 e6 8.h4!



'Black played the opening rather passively, allowing me to gain space on the kingside and have a more comfortable game.'

8...②ge7 9.h5 b5 10. ₩d2 ₩a5 'Black plans to strike with 11...b4 and 12...d5, but White prevents it with a little combination that keeps the black king in the middle.'

11.e5! dxe5

'Black is curious to find out what White really means. Otherwise he would have played 11...d5 although after 12. @ce2 White is still better.' 12.h6 [§]f8 13. [§]xc5

Ed.: 13.fxe5!+-.





Ed.: Things might have been less clear after the active defense 13...b4! 14.신e4 f5! (14...신d5 15.皇d6 皇xd6 16.신xd6+ 솔e7 17.신c4±) 15.신d6+ 솔d7 16.d4 e4. **14.신ge2**



14...b4

'Calculating all possible variations in such a complicated position is not practical, but while my opponent was thinking I tried not to waste time.

14...exf4 15. \bigcirc xf4 e5+ 16. \bigcirc e4 \bigcirc d5 (16...f5 17.d4 c7 18. \bigcirc d6+ ed7 19. \bigcirc f7 \blacksquare g8 20.d6+-) 17. \bigcirc xd5 xc5 (17...exd5 18.d4 e6 19.0-0-0 dxe4 20.xf8 xf8 21.d5 e5 22.dxc6+-) 18. \bigcirc df6+ f8 19.0-0-0 b4 20. \bigcirc xc5 $\Huge{}$ wc5 21.d4 d6 22.d5 exd5 23.wd5 $\ddddot{}$ xd5 (23... $\ddddot{}$ xf6 24.ec5+ e7 25. $\ddddot{}$ xc6 b7 26. $\ddddot{}$ b5) 24.xd5 \bigtriangledown d8 25. \blacksquare he1 \circlearrowright e6 26.xe6 xxe6 27. \circlearrowright d7+ xd7 28. \blacksquare xd7 \blacksquare e8 29. \blacksquare xe8+ $\oiint{}$ xe8 30. \blacksquare xa7 \blacksquare f8 31.a4 and White wins.' But such calculations cost a lot of energy and are not to be

15. ②e4 ②d5 16. 皇xf8 當xf8 17.fxe5 ②xe5 18. 彎g5 Locking up the kingside with 18.g5 was better.

18...<u>\$</u>a6

18...公xg4? is not playable because after 19.營xg4 公e3 20.營f3 公xc2+ 21.含f2 公xa1 22.營f6 黨g8 23.黨xa1 White should win.

Ed.: A better defense seems to have been 18...f5!, to create some space and control some more squares at the same time.

19.0-0 **විd7 20.**විf4!

Exchanging Black's best piece. 20...②xf4 21.¤xf4



21...**ģ**e8

Ed.: Both players must have missed 21... Ξ b5! here, when White is fortunate to retain equality after 22. \bigcirc d6 Ξ xg5 23. Ξ xf7+ \Leftrightarrow g8 24. Ξ g7+ \Leftrightarrow f8 25. Ξ f1+ Ξ f5 26. Ξ xf5+ (26. gxf5?? \bigotimes xd6-+) 26...gxf5 27. Ξ f7+ \Leftrightarrow g8=. 22 \bigcirc f6+ \bigcirc xf6 23 \bigotimes xf6 Ξ f8 24 Ξ e1!

22.②f6+ ②xf6 23.豐xf6 ≝f8 24.≝e1! Threatening 25.≝xe6+!.

Relatively the best. After 25...罩d6 26.罩xd6 彎xd6 27.罩e4 彎e7 28.彎e5 f6 29.彎xe6 White wins.

recommended.

Allowing a sharp combination. The queen exchange 28...豐xf6 loses fast: 29.gxf6 罩d7 30.罩xb4 罩d8 31.罩b7 罩d7 32.罩eb5+-.

Also after 28... \[d7, 29. \[c4 wins.



29.罩xe6+! fxe6 30.豐xe6+ 豐e7 31.豐c8+ 當f7 32.罩f4+ 當g8 33.罩xf8+ 豐xf8 34.豐xb7 豐c5+ 35.當h1

Black has no good check and White threatens 34.營g7 mate. Westman could have resigned here.

Simplifying into a winning pawn endgame.

36...響xg7 37.hxg7 當xg7 38.當g2 h6 39.gxh6+ 當xh6 40.a3 a5 41.axb4 axb4 42.c4 當g5 43.c5 1-0

Notes from Československý Šach 10/1965, p. 151; also published in Shakhmatny Bulletin 12/1965, p. 358, opening review by A.Konstantinopolsky.

Game 11 Sicilian Defense Lubomir Kavalek German Khodos

Sinaia student ol 1965 (1)

1.e4 c5 2.එf3 e6 3.d4 cxd4 4.එxd4 a6

Played already in the 19th century by Louis Paulsen.

5.公c3 營c7 6.急e3 公f6 7.急d3 b5 8.e5 White sacrifices a pawn for quick development.



10...**≜d6**?

A) 10...鬯c7?! 11.띨he1! with pressure;

B) 10...心bd7? 11. 創f5! 響b8 12. ②xe6 with a devastating attack;

C) 10...b4! 11.2a4 ₩c7! 12.ℤhe1 ፪e7 was best.

11.g4!

Closing the net around Black's queen.

Better may have been 11...h5!? but White replies 12.g5 followed by 13.g6.

12.₩h3

White threatens to win the queen with 13.f4.

12...<u>ĝ</u>e7?!

Somewhat more tenacious was 12...ዿc5.

13.f4 ₩c7 14.g5 ∅e4 15. âxe4 dxe4 16.g6!

Undermining the pawn on e6. The threat is 17.響xh7!.



16...fxg6

16...皇f6 17.心xe6 fxe6 (17...豐c8 18.罩d8+ 皇xd8 19.心xg7+ 含f8 20.心f5 fxg6 21.豐h6++-) 18.心xe4 and now:

B) 18... 愈c8 19. ②xf6+ (19. 響h5 愈e7
20.gxh7+ 會f8 21. ②g5 愈xg5 22.fxg5
會e7 23. 置hf1+-) 19...gxf6 20.g7!
響xg7 21. 響h5+ 響g6 22. 響f3+-;
C) 18... ③d7 19. 響xe6+ 愈e7
(19... 會d8 20. 愈b6) 20. ④d6+
會d8 21. 愈b6 響xb6 (21... ④xb6
22. ③xb7+ 會e8 23. 響f7#) 22. ④f7+
會e8 (22...會c8 23. 響xd7+ 會b8
24. ③xh8+-) 23. 響xd7+ 會f8
24. 圖he1+-;

D) 18... এxe4 19. 響xe6+ 含f8 20. এc5+ 響xc5 21. 響f7#. Too bad one cannot play all these variations in one game!

21... ģe7 22. 奠c5+ 響xc5 23. 響e6+ ģf8 24. 黨d8#.



22.**≝d8**+

Black resigned here. 22...豐xd8 23.豐e6+ 會f8 24.豐f7#:

22... 違xd8 23. 響xh8+ 含xh8 24. 墨f8#. Notes in Československý Šach 10/1965 p. 150.

This game won the prize for the most brilliant game of the 1965 Student Olympiad.

Game 12 Philidor Defense Draguljub Velimirovic Lubomir Kavalek Belgrade 1965 (11)

With three rounds to go, I was leading the tournament by a half point. You don't want to be on the losing end of a brilliancy game. **1.e4 d6 2.d4 (2)f6 3.(2)c3 e5 4.(2)f3 (2)bd7 5.)(2)c4)(2)c3 e5 4.(2)f3 (2)bd7 5.)(2)c3 e5 4.(2)f3 (2)c3 e5 6.(2)f3 (3)c3 e5 6.(2)f3 (3)c3 e5 6.(2)f3 (4)c3 e5 6.(2)f3 (5)c3 e5 6.(2)f3 (5**



8.**₩e2 ⁄⊠b6**?!

Asking for trouble, but I could not foresee what Velimirovic was about to do. That's, perhaps, why he was called the Yugoslavian Tal.

9.dxe5

9.皇b3 皇g4 10.a5 心bd7 11.豐c4 0-0 12.②g5 皇h5= 0-1 (52) J.Littlewood-Barendregt, Birmingham ENG-NED 1963.

9...dxe5



10.≗xf7+!

The storm starts with a thunderbolt. Immediately after the game, we were not sure about the correctness of the sacrifice. Even today's computers show the game being roughly equal. **10... 含xf7**

At first glance, it is not clear how White plans to attack here. Remarkably, Velimirovic just keeps the black king in the middle and calmly develops his pieces. **11.a5!**

By chasing back the knight, White gains the important square c4 for his queen.

11...⊘bd7 12.₩c4+ 🖢e8

Black can't allow a king hunt after 12...當g6? 13.心h4+ 當h5 14.豐f7+ 當xh4 (14...g6 15.心f5) 15.g3+ 當h3 16.豐e6+ 心g4 17.心e2 心df6 18.當h1 흹xe6 19.心g1#.

13.Øg5 Øf8

White gets the other rook after 13... 這f8 14. ②e6 營d6 (after 14... 營b8 15. ③xg7+ 含d8 16. 這d1 Black is playing without his queenside pieces) 15. ④xg7+ 含d8 16. 這d1 營b4 17. ②e6+ 含e8 18. ②c7+ 含d8 19. 變xb4 象xb4 20. ③xa8, winning. **14. 罩d1**



14...<u>≗</u>d7

Velimirovic quietly brings another piece into the attack and I couldn't find a sensible defense. It was possible to strike immediately with 15.公b5 營b8 16.營f7+ 含d8 17.公d6 愈xd6 18.營xg7 愈e7 19.公f7+ 含c7 20.公xe5 營e8 21.營xh8+- (Houdini). **15...營c8?**



17.�a4!

An elegant finishing touch. White has many threats: 18. 4 b6 or planting a minor piece on c5. There is not much Black can do. 17 c5 17...h6 18.2b6!+-. 18.②xc5 皇xc5 19.響xg7 ②g6 20. £xc5 20.₩xf6+! appears to have been stronger, for example 20... ge7 21.②e6+ 會e8 22.②g7+ 會d8 23.遑b6+ axb6 24.豐xb6+ 豐c7 25.罩xd7+ 鸢xd7 26.響e6+ 當d8 27.罩d1++-, Houdini. 20…②h5 20...≝xc5 21.9e6++-. 21.ĝe7+ ģc7 21...②xe7 22.④f7+ 當e8 (22...當c7

and White wins.

- 22. <u>흹</u>d6+
- 22.≝f7.
- 22...∲c6
- 22...∲d8 23.₩h6+–.
- 23...響g8 24.響f3+-.
- 24.a6 bxa6 25.₩d5+
- 25.豐b3+ 當c6 26.罩xa6+! 豐xa6 27.豐d5+ 當b6 28.豐c5+ 當b7 29.豐c7# - a wonderful epaulette mate. **25...當b6**





26.c4

Velimirovic prefers quiet moves, but he could have forced mate in two different ways: 26.罩xa6+! 含xa6 27.罩a1+ 含b6 28.響a5+ 含c6 29.響c5+ 含b7 30.營b4+ 含c6 31.罩a6+! 豐xa6 32.響c5+ 含b7 33.營c7#. Alternatively, 26.營a5 mates even quicker! 26...含c6 27.罩d3 罩b8 28.罩c3+ 含xd6 29.營a3+. **26...營c6 27.營a5+ 含b7 28.ゑc5 罩ac8 29.b4 罩hd8 30.۞f7** 30.罩d6 營c7 31.營xa6+ 含a8 32.ゑxa7+-.

30.... **三**g8 31. **三**d6 公gf4 32. **三**xc6 **三**xg2+ 33. 堂f1 **三**xc6 34. 公d8+ 堂c8 35. 公xc6 皇xc6 36. 皇d6 1-0

Game 13 King's Indian Defense Lubomir Kavalek Milan Matulovic Bucuresti 1966 (7)

1.d4 ∅f6 2.c4 c5 3.d5 d6 4.थेc3 g6 5.e4 ዿg7 6.f4 0-0 7.ዿd3 e6



8.dxe6!

Favored by Vladimir Antoshin. I knew his four games played with this variation and he only lost half a point against me in Leipzig 1965. **8...fxe6** 8...≜xe6?! 9.f5!.

9.ඕge2 විc6

9...e5 10.0-0 (10.f5!? is much sharper and I wanted to test the complications, but Matulovic plays differently) 10...exf4 11.皇xf4 心h5 12.皇e3 罩xf1+ 13.豐xf1 心c6∓ Antoshin-Kavalek, Leipzig 1965. **10.0-0 心d4 11.公g3**



11...⊘e8

Matulovic was one of Antoshin's victims and the plan to activate the queen to h4 and then move the knight from e8 to f6 and to g4 is a result of his home preparation. I decided not to prevent this plan at first.

12.≜e3 ₩h4 13.f5!?

Is this pawn sacrifice correct? I do not know, but I felt it had to be played.

Maybe I can talk here about intuition in chess. Maybe I can list many variations in this position, but during the game I was not calculating much. My decision cost me 30 minutes on my clock. Interestingly, this pawn sacrifice was the main choice of Komodo 10, 50 years later. It steps up the pace. **13...exf5 14. 20**